Gmail **Chris Hoffman <hoffman8480@gmail.com>**

Request for reconsideration of an RFE

6 messages

Chris Hoffman <hoffman8480@gmail.com> Tue, Aug 12, 2025 at 5:08 PM
To: judicialconduct@jd.state.co.us

I'm writing you today in regards to CCJD No. 25-237. Stated plainly I find response to my initial complaint to be wholly inadequate. In the letter it seems as though there was some confusion as to what I wanted investigated in the complaint as well as an admittal that there wasn't enough evidence to prove my claims. If either of these situations is the case, why was I not contacted for such information?

It states in the letter that "I did not allege when that time of solitary confinement occured or whether Judge Hunt has any role in that situation." Easily obtainable court records would show that Judge Hunt was indeed the judge overseeing the case in which this incidence occurred, which was in 2021 as stated in the letter. Though I did not include the exact date, any attempt to attain said information would be easily completed by a judicial organization. I would also be able to provide such information if requested once my records request for my records from the Jefferson County Sheriff's facility are released to me.

As I understand, part of a judge's duty is to ensure that procedures for confinement are conducted according to law and that the rights of the individual are protected. This includes the responsibility of overseeing conditions of confinement to ensure they meet constitutional standards. Judge Hunt clearly failed in these duties. As of 2017 Colorado has had a law in it's constitution which governs the maximum time an individual can be continuously placed in solitary confinement. This limit was placed at 15 consecutive days.

Under Judge Hunt's supervision I was held within a facility of incarceration in solitary confinement for nearly 4-5 months straight. This is a situation where a judge openly allowed the breaking of Colorado State law. At the very least the judge should have issued reprimands and issued direct orders in court so as to make the situation publicly known and for the situation to be fixed. Inaction by the judge in this case may imply lack of knowledge regarding State law.

Further, it states in the letter, "You also allege that your case was stayed illegally." And that "Colorado law provides that criminal matters are stayed where a competency evaluation is ordered." These statements seem to imply that the stay ordered was legal. However, this is clearly not the case in these circumstances. This is because the legal procedures governing the evaluation did not take place within the required timeframe. Judge Hunt stated in court that this evaluation was legally required to take place within 1 month. Judge Hunt allowed over 4 months for the evaluation to take place. This is a clear breach of Colorado State law on the subject as well as a violation of the 14th amendment of the constitution regarding due process of law.

Given these facts, it is clear that the evaluation was not done wholly or impartially as the facts seem clear and evident. All of these claims can be proven true through the investigation of the circumstances of the case. It is clear that Judge Hunt failed in his ethical and legal duty as a judge.

I look forward to hearing back from you so that an actual discussion and investigation may take place so as to remedy the injustice I have faced in dealing with the judicial and law enforcement systems,

Chris Hoffman

Judicial Conduct <judicialconduct@jd.state.co.us> Tue, Aug 12, 2025 at 5:39 PM
To: Chris Hoffman <hoffman8480@gmail.com>, Judicial Conduct <judicialconduct@jd.state.co.us>

Hello,

We have received your Request for Reconsideration of the dismissal in CCJD case 25-237 for 1st Judicial District Court Judge Diego G. Hunt. The Commission will review one request for reconsideration.

If you would like to submit additional materials or information for us to consider, please include this matter number with your submission.

If you have questions about the Commission or its procedures, please see our Frequently Asked Questions page at https://ccjd.colorado.gov/frequently-asked-questions. The Commission's 2024 Annual Report includes the most up to date information about the Commission and can be found at https://ccjd.colorado.gov/annual-reports.

Thank you,

COLORADO
Office of Judicial Discipline

P: 303-457-5131

1300 Broadway, Ste. 210, Denver, CO 80203

ccjd.colorado.gov

This e-mail transmission contains information from the Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline which may be confidential or otherwise protected by Colo. Const. Art. VI, § 23(3)(g) and § 24-72-401, C.R.S. Unlawful disclosure of confidential records outside of necessary/authorized parties is punishable according to § 24-72-402, C.R.S. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail and delete the original transmission.

[Quoted text hidden]

Chris Hoffman <hoffman8480@gmail.com> Tue, Aug 12, 2025 at 6:04 PM
To: Judicial Conduct <judicialconduct@jd.state.co.us>

As the last sentence regarding evidence isn't clear, what type of evidence may be beneficial to submit that may not be easily attainable by the CCJD? I have requested but am yet to receive documents from the Jefferson County Sheriff's facility which should include documentation proving the date of my case and the time I spent in solitary confinement. Though I do not currently have the court records, they would also show that the statement about the legally required time for the competence evaluation was openly stated in court and that the court failed to require the evaluation take place in the properly allotted amount of time.

Also, does this serve as an official request for the reconsideration to take place or is there another form that I should submit? I will provide all necessary information upon request.

I look forward to hearing from you,

Chris Hoffman

[Quoted text hidden]



image001.png
34K

Judicial Conduct <judicialconduct@jd.state.co.us> Wed, Aug 13, 2025 at 8:27 AM
To: Chris Hoffman <hoffman8480@gmail.com>

Mr. Hoffman-

Normally a request for reconsideration is a detailed letter or email that explains exactly why you feel the Commission's first response to you was incorrect. Our office only has jurisdiction over the moral or ethical conduct of the Judge. Any documentation supporting a claim of misconduct by the Judge, can be submitted to this email address.

Have a good day.

[Quoted text hidden]

Chris Hoffman <hoffman8480@gmail.com> Wed, Aug 13, 2025 at 1:31 PM
To: Judicial Conduct <judicialconduct@jd.state.co.us>

I appreciate your assistance in this matter. I would like to request that my first email be the basis for the reconsideration. However, to add specificity I would also like to include a further emendation:

I believe Judge Hunt failed in his ethical duty as a judge to be informed of the laws within the jurisdiction he oversees. As a judge, ignorance of the law is not an excuse for violation of the law as judges are bound to know all applicable laws. This obligation is fundamental to ensure judicial fairness and equity for all individuals, regardless of age, race, religion, etc. It also prevents targeting, retaliatory tactics, and other forms of malfeasance.

The judicial misconduct I have experienced ranges the gambit from allowing law enforcement to openly and wantonly break the law without any form of reprimand, a violation of court rules which define a set procedure for an evaluation to take place, and his personal failure in his ethical duty to act when I was undergoing a form of torture while incarcerated (duty to act upon knowledge of a violation of the law by law enforcement personnel) .

I feel as though Judge Hunt failed to ensure he and all others involved in the case respected and complied with the law, which is a fundamental duty as a judge.

This concludes my emendation to the first, detailed email. I appreciate your assistance in the matter and if you have any questions or concerns please reach out to me,

Thank you for your time

[Quoted text hidden]

---

2 attachments



image002.png
37K



image003.png
34K

Judicial Conduct <judicialconduct@jd.state.co.us> Wed, Aug 13, 2025 at 1:53 PM
To: Chris Hoffman <hoffman8480@gmail.com>, Judicial Conduct <judicialconduct@jd.state.co.us>

Hello,

This has been received and added to your case file.

[Quoted text hidden]